Carbon Remineralization and Burial in the Coastal Margin: Linkages in the Anthropocene

Thomas S. Bianchi

Jon and Beverly Thompson Endowed Chair of Geological Sciences University of Florida



Collaborators

Mead Allison - Tulane University Tim Eglinton - ETH Valier Galy - WHOI Yves Gelinas – Concordia University Dong Li - Ocean Univ. of China *Xinxin Li – Shandong University, China Brad Rosenheim - Univ. of South Florida *Troy Sampere - McNeese State Univ. Candida Savage - Univ. of Otago, NZ *Katherine Schreiner – Univ. of Minnesota-Duluth Susanne Schüller - Univ. of Otago, NZ ***Richard Smith - Global Aquatic Research** Jinpeng Wang - Ocean Univ. of China *Nick Ward – Pacific Northwest Nat. Lab (PNNL) Bochao Xu – Ocean Univ. of China

Peng Yao - Ocean Univ. of China

Zhigang Yu - Ocean Univ. of China

Funding



The Key Players



Michael Shields, Postdoc at UF





Xingqian Cui, Postdoc at MIT



Xiaowen Zhang, Postdoc at MIT

Jack Hutchings, Researcher, Washington University

Seminar Outline

1. "Hot Spots" and Controls of Organic Carbon Burial in Global Ocean

2. Organic Carbon Dynamics in Large River Deltas

3. A "Kink" in the Aquatic Continuum

4. Organic Carbon Dynamics in Fjords

5. Carbon Sequestration on an Embryonic Delta and Coastal U.S. Wetlands

"Hot Spots" and Controls of Organic Carbon Burial in Global Ocean

Evolution of Global Ocean Carbon Burial

Organic carbon burial rates in various ocean sediments (unit, 10 ¹² g C year ⁻¹)		
Sediment type	Burial rate	
Terrigenous deltaic-shelf sediments	104	
Biogenous sediments (high-productivity zones)	10	
Shallow-water carbonates	6	
Pelagic sediments (low-productivity zones)	5	
Anoxic basins (e.g. Black Sea)	1	
World total	126	

All data are from Berner (1989).

Table 2. Burial of Terrestrial Organic Matter (TOM) in Continental Margin Sediments

		В	urial Rate ^b	TOM Burial	
Sediment Type	TOM/_OM_bur^a	∑OM ^c	TOM ^d	(% of ∑OM Burial)	
Deltaic sediments	$67 \pm 24\%$	70	47 ± 17		
Non-deltaic, continental margin sediments	16 ± 4%	68	11 ± 3		
All continental margin sediments		138	58 ± 17	$44 \pm 13\%$	
All marine sediments		160		$36 \pm 11\%$	

^aValues are from Table 1.

^bUnits are Tg C yr⁻¹.

^cData are from *Hedges and Keil* [1995]. \sum OM is the total sediment organic matter (expressed here in carbon mass units, as opposed to total organic matter mass units).

^dFor each sediment type, the TOM burial rate is column one times column two.

 Table 2. Global estimates of marine carbon burial as a function of sediment type.

Modified from Berner (1982) and Hedges and Keil (1995).

Sediment Type	OC Burial (x10 ¹² gC y ^{r-1})	
Deltaic - Continental Shelf	70	
Non-Deltaic - Continental Shelf & Upper Slope	68	
Fjords	11	
Underlying High-Productivity Zones	10	
Shallow-water Carbonates	6	
Underlying Low Productivity Zones - Pelagic	5	
Anoxic Basins	1	
Total Oceanic Carbon Burial	171	

	OC _{terr} /	OC burial (Tg C yr-1)		OCterr burial rate	Percent
Sediment Type	Total OC	Total OC	OCterr	(g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)	OCterr
Deltaic Sediments	67±24%	60	40±14		65%
Non-deltaic, continental				2.6±0.9	
margin sediments	16±4%	69	11±3		18%
Fjord sediments	55±14% a	21±16 b	10±7 °	22.5±15.6	17%
All continental margin sediments	41±16 %	150	61±24	3.0±1.2	NA
All marine sediments	35±14 %	172	61±24 ^d	0.7±0.1	NA

Hedges (1992) Mar. Chem.

Burdige (2005) *Global Biogeochem. Cycl.*

Smith, Bianchi et al. (2015) *Nat. Geosci.*

Cui, Bianchi et al (2016) *Earth. Planet. Sci. Lett.*

Burial of Sedimentary Organic Carbon (OC)

Most OC (ca. 86%) is preserved in continental margin sediments (Berner, 1982; Hedges, 1992; Burdige, 2005, 2006).

Why?

- 1. Sedimentation rate, or rate of burial is an important factor
- 2. Redox conditions/oxygen exposure can be a factor
- 3. Surface Area/mineralogy/aggregates appear to be very important
- 4. Selective preservation based on biochemical properties
- 5. Geopolymerization abiotic linkages
- 6. Co-precipitation and sorption to reactive Fe

The "mechanisms" of carbon preservation are still not understood. Many relationships between %OC, sedimentation rate, surface area, oxygen, have been shown, but we do not have a clear mechanistic explanation for why these relationships are observed.

The Aquatic Continuum



Ward, Bianchi, et al. (2017) Front. Mar. Sci.

Passive and Active Margin Drivers of OC Burial and Transport: Source-to-Sink



Blair and Aller (2012) Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci.

"Hot-Spots" of Carbon Burial in the Continuum at the Coastal Margin



Bianchi et al. (2016) Ann. Rev. Earth Plant. Sci.

Large-River Deltaic-Estuaries



Figure 1. Some other major deltas of the world: (A) Nile; (B) Amazon; (C) Ganges-

Brahmaputra; (D) Lena.

Intertidal and Sub-tidal Habitats for OC Burial



Fig. 2. Regional geomorphogical boundaries and associated sedimentary deposits within an LDE.

Bianchi and Allison (2009) Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.

Fjords



Bianchi (2007) Biogeochemistry of Estuaries, Oxford Univ. Press

1

Different "Rules" in the Arctic Aquatic Continuum



"Hot Spots" for Carbon Sequestration at the Land-Ocean Boundary of the Aquatic Continuum



Bianchi et al., 2018 (In: Wyndam et al. 2018 A Blue Carbon Primer: The State of Coastal Wetland Carbon Science, Practice, and Policy (CRC Press)

Carbon Sequestration in Terrestrial versus Blue Carbon Systems



Mcleod et al. (2011) Front. Ecol

Redox Effects



Bianchi et al. Ann. Rev. Earth Planet Sci. (2016)

Changes in Coastal Carbon Loading/Sequestration



b Present day continental shelf



Coastal ocean has largely been a net sink for atmospheric carbon dioxide during post-industrial times.

All carbon fluxes including NEP have units of Pg C yr-1.

Bauer, Bianchi, et al. Nature (2013)

Coastal Study Sites



Physical Map of the World

global map htm[7/6/2016 8:09:10 AM]

Lignin as a Chemical Biomarker of Vascular Plants





Hedges and Ertel (1982) Anal. Chem.

 $\Lambda_8 (mg/100 mg OC)$

Bianchi and Canuel (2011) *Chemical Biomarkers in Aquatic Ecosystems*, Princeton Univ. Press Organic Carbon Dynamics in Large River Deltas

Deltaic and Fluid/Mobile Muds: Agents of Rapid Transport and OC Decay

McKee, Bianchi, et al. (2004) Cont. Shelf Res.

Spatial Variability of Surface Depositional Processes

Seasonal movement of mobile muds as related to river discharge, A – rising discharge; B. falling discharge

Corbett et al. (2004) Mar. Geol.

Transport and Decay of Lignin

Lignin decreases across-shelf due in part to decomposition as evidenced by higher Ad/Al ratios, some loss may be due to transformation into other substances (e.g., carboxylic-rich alicyclic molecules [CRAM], personal comm. P. Hatcher).

Sampere, Bianchi et al. (2008) Cont. Shelf Res.

Physical Drivers of Hydrodynamic Sorting in the Yangtze (Changjiang) River Delta Region

Li, Bianchi et al. (2014) J. Mar. Syst. – modified from Liu et al. (2007) Geomorphology

"Burn-Down" of OC in Mobile-Muds in Large-River Deltas

Dashed line of ≤ 0.4 represents values commonly found in oligotrophic open ocean sediments (e.g., highly degraded OC) Collective data from: Aller (1998), *Mar. Chem.*; Aller and Blair (2004); Aller and Blair (2006) *GCA*; Aller and Blair (2006) *Cont. Shelf Res.*

Yao, Bianchi et al. (2014) Cont. Shelf Res.

The Colville River is the largest river in North America that exclusively drains high-Arctic continuouspermafrost tundra

Deltaic POC sources from a variety of areas, including the Colville River, the Mackenzie River, direct coastal erosion (high in peat), aquatic (marine and freshwater, pelagic and benthic) algal production and yedoma.

Yedoma is an organic-rich (about 2% carbon by mass) Pleistocene-age permafrost with ice content of 50–90% by volume. Also, rich in "old" fatty acids and low in lignin-phenols (Vonk et al., 2010; Feng et al., 2013).
Schreiner, Bianchi, et al. (2013) J. Geophys. Res. Biogeo.

OC Permafrost Transport to Coast

Ramped pyrolysis-oxidation (RPO) radiocarbon analysis

Thermographs (black lines, left y axis) and C-14 age distribution of CO₂ splits (bars, right y axis).

Zhang, Bianchi et al. (2017) Geophys. Res. Lett.

Permafrost/Yedoma-Derived POC Transport to the Coast

Yedoma in the Arctic

Zhang, Bianchi et al. (2017) Geophys. Res. Lett.

Fig. 6. Block fall of the 35-m-high Itkillik River bluff, 16 August 2007, 5:14 a.m.

Old before your time...

So, as the rules continue to change in the Anthropocene, we can add yet another twist in this ever-changing epoch where a gastrotrich that lives for 3 days can be thousands of years old when it dies.

Guillemette, Bianchi, et al. (2017) Limnol. Oceanogr.

A "Kink" in the Aquatic Continuum

Changes in the Hinterland

In recent years, there has been an astonishing increase in the retention of water by rivers, estimated to be 600 to 700%, which has tripled the time is takes for a water molecule to be transported from land to sea.

Vörösmarty et al. (2009) Bull. At. Sci.

The Damn Dams

Existing Dams in the World

Dams Under Construct ion or Planned

Grill et al. (2015) Env. Res. Lett.

Reduction in Missouri River Particulates from Damming

Meade and Mooney (2010) Hydrol Proc.

The Loss of Coastal Deltas

Shameless Advertising

IN THE RED

Most large- and medium-sized deltas cannot grow fast enough to keep up with sea-level rise in the next century. Damming reduces sediment load further and pushes more deltas into the red.

THOMAS S. BIANCHI

DELTAS ^{AND} HUMANS

A Long Relationship now Threatened by Global Change

Bianchi (2016) Oxford Univ. Press

Giosan et al. (2014) Nature

Slow the Flow and Phytoplankton will Grow

MS

MO

OH

37

8.7

2.5

21.6

161

High phytoplankton biomass from backwater reservoirs, navigation locks of tributaries are exported to mainstem of river during high-flow periods

Duan and Bianchi (2006) J. Geophys. Res.

Priming in the Aquatic Continuum

Bianchi et al. (2015) *Geophys. Res. Lett.*

Bianchi (2011) Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.

Recent evidence for priming in aquatic systems: Guenet et al. (2014) *Ecol.;* Bianchi et al. (2015) *Geophys. Res. Lett.;* Ward, Bianchi et al. (2017) *J. Geophys. Res.*

Priming at the River Confluence

Ward, Bianchi et al. (2016) J. Geophys. Res.

Priming of Plant Leachates to CO2 at River Confluence

Relative to Óbidos, the sum degradation rate of all four leachates was 3.3 and 2.6 times faster in the algae-rich Tapajós and Xingu Rivers, respectively.

Ward, Bianchi, et al. (2016) J. Geophys. Res.

Possible "Hot Spots" for Priming in the Aquatic Continuum

Reservoirs

River Confluences

River Plumes

Organic Carbon Dynamics in Fjords

Organic Carbon Burial in Fjords and Ocean Sediments

60° N 30° N 0° 300 3.6% 30° 5 250 F 60° S OC AR (gC m⁻² yr 200 150 180 90° W 0 90° E 100 0.7% 50 1.7% 0.7% 1.6% 5.6% 1.8% 3.6% 0 Greenland NW Europe Chile Alaska Eastern Svalbard Antarctica New Canada Zealand

Fjords used in global compilation

Figure 1 | Organic carbon concentration and accumulation data were compiled from 573 globally distributed fjord sediment samples and 124 cores (locations shown on map insert). Bars represent the area-normalized average organic carbon accumulation rate (OC AR) of each fjord region. Shown above each bar is the average OC content of surface sediments (%OC_{sfc}) in fjord basins.

It was estimated that fjords store ca. 11% of annual marine carbon burial globally.

nature

JUNE 2015 VOL 8 NO 6

PORPHYRY COPPER

NEOPROTEROZOIC OCEANS

Rapid carbon burial in fjords

Tracer of erosion
PLUMES AND RIDGES
Long-term links

Sulphide removed

oscience

Smith, Bianchi et al. (2015) *Nat. Geosci*.

Biospheric and Petrogenic OC Flux along Southeast Alaska

Using end-member mixing models, we determined that glaciated fjords have significantly higher burial rates of petrogenic OC_{petro} (1113 g OC m-2 yr-1), than non-glaciated fjords in SE Alaska - which are effective in burying marine OC (OCbiomari) (13 - 82 g OC m-2 yr-1).

Cui, Bianchi et al. (2016) EPSL

Carbon Storage in Scotland

Smeaton et al. (2016) Biogeosci.

Despite the smaller areal extent of fjords relative to peatlands in Scotland, C storage is an order of magnitude greater in them.

Smeaton et al. (In review, EPSL)

Carbon Sequestration in Wetlands on an Embryonic Delta and Coastal U.S Wetlands

Carbon Burial in an Embryonic Delta

This delta formed as a result of the construction of the **Wax Lake** outlet in 1941. The outlet was built to provide flood relief for the lower Atchafalaya River.

Shields, Bianchi et al. (2015) Geophys. Res. Lett

These study sites, which became subaerial at different times, evolved in vegetation type, OC source, and biogeochemical pathways

Henry and Twilley (2014) Ecosystems

Role of Reactive Iron in OC preservation

@AGUPUBLICATIONS

Geophysical Research Letters

RESEARCH LETTER 10.1002/2015GL067388

Enhanced terrestrial carbon preservation promoted by reactive iron in deltaic sediments

Key Points: • Fifteen percent of the OC in the Wax Michael R. Shields¹, Thomas S. Bianchi¹, Yves Gélinas², Mead A. Allison^{3,4}, and Robert R. Twilley⁵

~15.0% of the OC was bound to FeR, and the dominant binding mechanisms varied from adsorption in the youngest subaerial region with the .

Shields, Bianchi et al., (2016) Geophys. Res. Lett.

Preferential Sorption of Select Compounds Lignin phenols and

Lignin phenols and aromatic acids are preferentially sorbed to FeR (OC:Fe<1) at the Young site but are not preferentially bound during co-precipitation at the Intermediate and Old sites.

Shields, Bianchi et al. (2015) Geophys. Res. Lett

Carbon Stock and Elevation

Shields, Bianchi, et al. (2017) Nat. Geosci.

Global Comparison of Carbon Sequestration Rates

Carbon Sequestration Rates

Shields, Bianchi, et al. (2017) Nat. Geosci.

Ecogeomorphology

Sampling Sites and Delta Thickness

Elevations are referenced to mean lower low water (MLLW).

Vibracore samples collected at 120 locations Wellner (2005)

Shields, Bianchi et al. 2018 Limnol. Oceanogr. (provisionally accepted)

Ecogeomphology Model

Shields, Bianchi et al. 2018 Limnol. Oceanogr. (provisionally accepted)

The scores along PC1 could be modeled with a multiple regression model with elevation and NDVI as the predictive variables (p < 0.05, r2 = 0.80) in the following equation:

PC1 score= -0.53(elevation)+ -0.57(NDVI)+0.39

Where, elevation is the site elevation in the DEM model, and NDVI is the mean NDVI for each site from June 2014 to July 2015.

Final Thoughts

A Need for more Critical Zone Dynamics in the Aquatic Continuum

Critical Zone Science

Chorover et al (2007) Elements

Ward, Bianchi et al. (2017) *Front. Mar. Sci.*

Riparian zone

Ш

loodplains/Lakes

Estuary

Lohrenz et al. (2014)